

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 100TH MEETING (1st Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,
New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 15th April, 2014

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Left Over cases of 98th meeting (old case no. 10-35)

Case no.1

(Smt. Bhadulata Lauchande, Firangiwada, Diu, Daman & Diu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 9.45 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.02

(Smt. Hemlata Shashikant Patel, GPOAH of Sh. Shashikant Sakar Patel, Diu, Daman & Diu (UT))

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 floors with total height of 10.71 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc) *for new construction after demolishing existing structure.*

Case no.03

(Sh. Cantilal Lacmichande, G.P.O.A.H. of Sh. Anil Kumar Venilal Carsandas, Diu Municipal Council, Daman & Diu (UT))

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 9.19 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.04

(Smt. Divyaka Jitendra Kumar Patel, Diu, Daman & Diu (UT))

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 7.59 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.05

(Sh. Premji Bhimji Baria, G.P.O.A.H. of Sh. Kiran Lauchand)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor+Cabin including parapet wall with total height of 9.59 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.06

(Sh. Rasulmiya Shaikh, P.O.A.H. of the Mahendra Mill Pvt. Ltd Directors, Ahmedabad, Gujarat) ✱

to applicant

In view of CA's recommendation and earlier ASI permission given *by*, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 15mtrs+3mtrs for roof top (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.07

(Sh. Ramzanbhai Nathubhai and others POAH of Sh. Kagdi Mohammed Sadik Gulam Hussain, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for hollow plinth+GF+4 floors with total height of 22.70 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.08

(Sh. Ahmed Husain Haji Gulam Husain Shaikh POAH of Sh. Kishorchanda Goradhandas Modi, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for HP+GF+4 with total height of 22.60 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.09

(Sh. Sufiyan A. Kapadia and others P.O.A.H. of Sh. Mohammed Nasir Abdulhamid Kapadia and others)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for the buildings type of A&B with total height of 22.40 mtrs & 21.5 mtrs respectively (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.10

(Sh. Pushkar Bherulala Shah, Shilpi corporation(partner), Jitendra Chambers, B/h Ajanta complex, Income Tax Cross Road, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 22.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), as per guidelines for CA Gujarat case. The NOC would be issued in favour of the current owner i.e. Sh. Pushkar Shah.

Case no.11

(Sh. Divyeshbhai Rameshchandra Shastri and Archana Divyeshbhai Shastri)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for HP+GF+4 floors with total height of 17.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.12

(Sh. Dineshbhai A. Shah, Shahibaug, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 floors with total height of 10.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), *after adding additional floor to existing G+1 structure.*

Case no.13

(Sh. Shantilal Mulchand Jain, Shahibaug, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+Mezzanine (existing) +1 floor with total height of 11.19 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 1 Lakh for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.14

(Smt. Sweety Jaikumar Jain, Shahibaug, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+Mezzanine (existing) floor with total height of 11.19 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 1 Lakh for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.15

(Sh. Dharmendra Jayantilal Shah, Vasna, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already carried out from structure for extension of balcony. It was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case the expansion on 2nd floor subject to the condition that the applicant should take approvals from the society & AMC for the proposed work and to impose a penalty of Rs. 1 Lakh for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.16

(The Estate Officer, Ahmedabad, Gujarat) *

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for the already constructed building of ground+stair, cabin with total height of 6.81 mtrs. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 1 Lakh for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI. However, An Interpretation Centre should also be established for heritage information, related to the protected site/monument.

Case no.17

(Smt. Premilaben Parshuram Chalke, Vadodara, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with total height of 4.80 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc.

Case no.18

(Sh. Saiyed Imaran Haiderhusen and others POAH of Smt. Huriben Umarjivali Vora and others and Ms. Bhartiben Rameshchandra Dikshit and others, Vodadara, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for GF+5 with total height of 21.40 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc.

Case no.19

(Sh. Pankajbhai Sundarlal Parekh and others, Vodadara, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant's land faces the protected monument and is in a largely open area. Since the construction would be in the first ^{line} of buildings, it was felt that height of Blocks A & B, facing the monument may be restricted. Accordingly, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for 15m+3m^{for roof-top structure} for Blocks A & B and 22.25 m for Block C including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc.

Case no.20

(Dr. Abidbhai Karimbhai Laxmidhar, Talaja, Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for basement+GF+1 with total height of 10.94 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc.

Case no.21

(Sh. Mumtaj Hasanbhai Momin, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for HP+GF+1 floor with total height of 13.80 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc.

Case no.22

(Sh. Gulamhussain Ibrahim Daruwala and others, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the applicant has demolished the old building and reconstructed the new frame structure. This new construction has been sealed by AMC, Ahmedabad. It was decided to get proper clarification/reason for sealing of the property. Also a translated copy of AMC order to be provided to this office.

Case no. 23

(Sh. Rakesh Sureshbhai Mehta, POAH of Sh. Kishorchandra Narottamdas Shah and others, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for GF+2 floors with total height of 13.33 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc.

Case no.24

(Sh. Ashokkumar Gopaldas Gulabani, Kalupur, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction of 3rd Floor has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with total height of 15.83 including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc, it was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 1 Lakh for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.25

(The Additional City Engineer (Central Zone and Heritage Department), Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to get the detailed plan on walkway i.e. the length of walk way, the width, height etc. and also overall site plan of the proposed site with respect to the monument.

Case no.26

(Sh. Aabedabanu Ahmedbhai and Faridabanu Ahmedbhai, Dholka, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for GF+2 with total height of 11.73 mtrs for basement including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. It was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 1 Lakh for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

MINUTES OF THE 100TH MEETING (2nd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,
New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 03.30 P.M on 16th April, 2014

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Fresh Cases

Case no.1

(Dr. Rajnibhai K. Sanghvi and Dr. Jagruti Rajni Sanghvi, Vasna, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+ground+1 floor with total height of 12.42 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). *The depth of the basement is 2.40m.*

Case no.02

(Additional City Engineer, Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that, there are ^{several} reversal projects in this heritage zone area having Bhadra Fort, Sidi Sayed Mosque, Ahmed Shah Mosque etc., proposal in the regulated area of centrally protected monument "Ahmed Shah's ^{to k} Mosque". In order to understand the overall plan for this area, it was felt necessary to ask the AMC (Heritage Department) to make a power-point-presentation on this and the pedestrian walkway+any other projects for this area, for the detailed work proposal.

Case no.03

(Sh. Sachin Rohitkumar Ringwala, Paldi, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction of Ist Floor has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with total height of 13.35 including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc, it was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 25,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.04

(Sh. Govindsingh Jummasingh Lodha, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with total height of 13.51 including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc, it was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 25,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.05

(Sh. Mohammad Salim Abdulrazaq Valiullah, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floor with total height of 11.81 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.06

(Sh. Hargovan P. Makwana, Danilimda, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor including parapet wall with total height of 07.99 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). It was observed that it would be advisable to inform the applicant that his construction on Ist floor should be limited to his own land.

Case no.07

(Sh. Dinesh Jadevji Parmar, Dwarka, Jamnagar, Gujarat)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with total height of 10.50m including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc, it was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 25,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.08

(Sh. Ghanshyambhai K. Patel Managing Director of Super Star Amusement Pvt. Ltd., Kankaria, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ^{building} Ground floor with total height of 20 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), ^{as per} ~~plans submitted.~~ ^{plans submitted.}

Case no.09

(Smt. Hemlataben Kiranbhai Patel, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 (existing)+2 floors with total height of 13.70 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.10

(Smt. Chetnaba Mahendrasinh Gohil, Talaja, Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has started ~~construction of~~ ^{proposed building}. While, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with total height of 6.37 including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc, it was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 5,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.11

(Sh. Babulal Chatrabhuj Modi and others, Porbandar, Gujarat)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with total height of 9.80 ^m including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc, it was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 25,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by ~~the~~ way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.12

(Smt. Geetaben Mahendrabhai Panchal, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+Mezzanine+First floor with total height of 9.94 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.13

(Sh. Mohammadmunaf Abdulkarim Dabhoiwala and others, Bharuch, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 10.50 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.14

(Sh. Gulamkadar Kalubhai Amodwala and others, Bharuch, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 10.74 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.15

(Smt. Rugmini, Baby Suma, Bady Saji & Suja, Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+² floors with total height of 13.12 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). ^{and} ^{basement.}

Case no.16

(Sh. A.M. Nazer, Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 10.35 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.17

(Sh. Carmel Charles, Thangaserry, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.44 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.18

(Sh. Ninan Alex, Thangaserry, Kollam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.25 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.19

(Sh. Solomon Mariyan & Beena Solomon, Thangaserry, Kollam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.30 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.20

(Smt. Pramoda. L., Thiruvallam, Trivandrum, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.55 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.21

(Smt. Santha Kochumon & Abhilash, K.K., Kakkad, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.25 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Also, applicant may incorporate sloping roof in the construction.

Case no.22

(Smt. Sheena Mohanan, Kakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 5.39 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.23

(Sh. Mohanan. K., Kakkad, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor i.e. extension of Ist floor (3.45 mtrs) with total height of 6.95 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.24

(Sh. Balachandran. M.V., Kakkad, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.13 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.25

(Mr. Jithesh Dutt M. & Mrs. Savithri Antherjanam, Pattambi, Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 5.96 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.26

(Mr. Joseph Durom D' Sooja, Fort Kochi, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 8.76 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.27

(Mr. Antony Pius. K.M., Fort Kochi, Ernakulam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 9.17 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 100TH MEETING (3rd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,
New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 17th April, 2014

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Fresh Cases

Case no.1

(Sh. Rajiv Chandra Rastogi and Smt. Vandana Rastogi, NDSE-I, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

for addition of third floor

Case no.02

(Smt. Smita Kaushik and Smt. Rashmi Kaushik, North Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for existing-GF+FF+SF with total height of 15.89 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

addition of third floor

Case no.03

(Sh. Dinesh Sharma, Masjid Moth Village, South Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted; however, if applicant wants basement, he should leave 14 mtrs.

monument.

from

Case no.04

(Sh. Hari Parkash, North Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storeys i.e. stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Handwritten signature
21/4/14

Case no.05

(Shashi Rani Gupta, Rana Pratap Bagh/C.C. Colony, North Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for four storeys i.e. stilt+4 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.06

Geetanjali Enclave

(Smt. Saroj Bhatia, Sh. Sandeep Bhatia and Sh. Ashok Kumar Bhatia, ~~Saket~~ (Press Enclave road), South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.07

(Smt. Asma Shafaq and Sh. Shafiq Ahmed, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permissible, *as the site is within just regulated zone from monument.*

Case no.08

(Sh. Pawan Kitchlue and Smt. Sushma Moitra, Hauz Khas Enclave, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permissible, *as the site is within the first regulated zone.*

Case no.09

(Sh. D.K. Jain and Smt. Usha Jain, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi, Delhi)

addition of third floor to existing building upto

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Four Storeys (basement +4 stories) with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.10

(Sh. Vikas Gupta, Mrs. Priyanka Gupta, Sh. Lav Gupta, Mrs. Saumya Gupta, Sh. Rakesh Gupta, Mrs. Anita Gupta and Sh. Kush Gupta, NDSE-I, New Delhi, Delhi)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that it has not been clarified as to the nature of the repair & renovation or whether any construction also has taken place. CA may confirm the same and resubmit the case.

R. Nehra
21/4/14

Case no.11

(Sh. Kuldeep Kumar Srivastava and Sh. Vinod Kumar Srivastava, Sarvapriya Vihar, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Four Storeys (stilt +4 stories) with total height of 17.91 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.12

(Dr. Viraj Kumar and Dr. Arun Kumar, Sunder Nagar-Mathura Road, New Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for three Storeys i.e. GF+2 with total height of 12.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.13

(Sh. N.C. Aswathanarayana, Nanjangud, Mysore, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for G+1 floor with total height of 8.50mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.14

(Sh. K. Subashchandra Hedge, Karkala, Udupi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.67 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.15

(Sh. Mugur Mahadev, Mysore, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for G+1 floor with total height of 6.09 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.16

(M/s Setsquare Project, Mumbai, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that there is some lack of clarity about ownership of the property and accordingly, it was decided that CA may clarify this issue and resubmit the case.

N. N. N. N.
21/4/2014

Case no.17

(M/s Aishwarya Avant Builders LLP, Ancheri, Mumbai, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for stilt+3 Podium level + 20 storey Upper floor with total height of 74.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.18

(Mr. Bhavesh G. Sanghrajka Constituted Attorney of Mrs. Neelam K. Khanna, Mr. Sanjeev S. Khanna, Jogeshwari, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+ground+14 storeys with total height of 57.25 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.19

(Sau. Anusaya Kerappa Hucche, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 (ground floor-Parking)+stilt with total height of 10.50 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Review Case

Case no.1

(M/s Sahana Properties & Resorts Pvt. Ltd., S.V Road, Andheri (west) Mumbai)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has requested for review of the earlier recommendation of 75 mtrs for sale building. He has requested that NOC may be considered as per his original application that is for 190 mtrs for the sale building. It was observed by Members that for Parel monument, the bye-laws have been accepted in principle wherein, it has been stipulated that provisions of BMC building bye-laws would be followed. In that context, it was felt that a clarification may be obtained as to whether there are any specific provisions in the BMC guidelines pertaining to permissible height in the Parel monument area. The matter could be considered on receipt on this clarification.

Deferred Case

Case no.1

(Sh. N.K. Janoo, Tajganj, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

The Chief Conservator of Forest, Conservator of forest and D.F.O Agra made a presentation on the proposed project of development of Eco-park in the area adjoining Taj Mahal on the eastern side. A detailed discussion was held and thereafter, it was decided to advise the applicant as follows:

Shachin
21/4/2014

- a) Further discussions may be held by the applicant with ASI on the proposed project, also taking into consideration the possibilities of incorporating the area presently with a defunct tannery into this plan.
- b) Physical interventions in the proposed project may be kept to the minimum and structures such as wooden huts for tourism etc may not be appropriate.
- c) The proposed introduction of boating and ferry services to link with the opposite bank may also be re-examined from the point of view of the likely crowds at the boarding point, the environmental aspects as well as security issues.
- d) The applicant may also examine feasibility of development of the corridor on the western side of Taj Mahal up to Agra fort, through Shahjahan Garden and introducing Camel Rides between the two monuments. This could also serve the purpose of reducing vehicular traffic in this vicinity.

The above issues could be discussed in detail with ASI and then the matter may be sent to the NMA again.

Fresh Cases

Case no.20

(Sh. Tarsem Lal & Smt. Namita, Lehra Ahata, Near Arya Samaj Chowk, Bathinda, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for G+1 floor with total height of 7.92 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.21

(Smt. Urmila Rani w/o Sh. Heera Lal, Mohall Dhingri, Nurmahal, Tehsil Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar, Punjab)

On perusal of the application, it was observed that the construction has already taken place. While it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with total height of 8.22 including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc, it was also decided to impose a penalty of Rs. 10,000 for construction without permission and the amount would be utilized by the way of providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument under the guidance of ASI.

Case no.22

(Sh. Ashwani Kumar S/o Sh. Data Ram, Narinder Colony, Ropar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.84 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.23

(Sh. Dinkar Sutha, Village Fulai Jageswar P.O. Jageswar Distt. Almora, Uttarakhand)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.20 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Handwritten signature
21/4/2014

Case no.24

(Smt. Lali Devi w/o Sh. Kaalu Keer, Tadarisingh, Tonk, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 3.04 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.25

(Sh. Ratanlal Luhar S/o Sh. Nathulal Luhar, Tadaraisingh, Tonk, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 6.09 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.26

(Sh. Roopchand Regar S/o Sh. Bacchulal Regar, Tadaraisingh, Tonk, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 3.04 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.27

(Sh. Ramkishan S/o Sh. Thakuri Singh, Roopwas, Bharatpur, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 3.65 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.28

(Andhra Pradesh Tourism Development Corporation Represented by Hon'ble Secretary, Hyderabad Golf Association, Golconda, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was found that the ^{applicant} application has a MoU with ASI. So, it was decided ~~to~~ that the MoU may be examined by ASI in detail and a decision taken on that basis.

Case no.29

(Sh. A.P. Sreeprakash, Thiruvallam, Trivandrum, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.45 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.30

(Mr. M. Haridas, Cherppu, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.50 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

N. S. S. S.
21/9/2014

Case no.31

(Sh. C.V. Chakkunny & M.P. Mary, Kakkad, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 3.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no. 32

(Sh. Joynarayan Buragohain, Sivasagar Tank, Ward No. 12, Sivasagar, Assam)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 9.75 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.33

(Sh. Manoj Khandelia, Doulmukh Chariali, Sivasagar, Assam)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 13 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.34

(Mrs. Monisha Mozinder Baruach, Sivasagar Tank Side, Sivasagar, Assam)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+3 floors with total height of 13.59 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Handwritten signature
21/4/2014

MINUTES OF THE 101st MEETING (1st & 2nd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,
New Delhi 110001

Time & Date - 02.30 P.M on 25th April, 2014

On the first day on the 101st meeting, discussions were held on the proposed categorization of monuments in Delhi and the proposed revised guidelines to consider NOC application cases. A separate record of discussions for this meeting has been issued.

Minutes of 100th meeting which was circulated amongst members were confirmed.

On the second day of the meeting, the following cases were taken up for consideration:-

Deferred case

Case no.1

(M/s Setsquare Project Consultants, Mumbai, Maharashtra)

The applicant had been asked to clarify the status of ownership of the land which has now been provided by the CA. After taking the clarification into account, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case with total height of 66.60 mtrs for building No. 1 & 2 and height of 63.55 mtrs (including mummy, water storage tank, parapet etc) for building No. 3.

Case no.02

(M/s. Sahana Properties & Resorts Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra)

As decided in the previous meeting, clarification had been sought about height restriction if any under BMC guidelines in respect of Parel Mumbai. It was informed by CA in the Parel area ^{that} there are no specific height restrictions specified in the DCR 1991 for Mumbai. However, some Members suggested undertaking Impact Assessment in view of the large construction, while there was also a suggestion that NMA may contemplate introducing some cap on the height even though the BMC guidelines did not have the same. It was informed that the draft Heritage Bye-laws for Parel had already been put up on the website after approval by the NMA with the provisions that BMC guidelines would be followed as far as this monument was concerned. After extensive discussions, it was decided that since the period for putting the bye-laws on the website is over, the matter may be brought before the next meeting of NMA for final decision on the bye-laws and this case may be considered in the light of the finally approved bye-laws for Parel.

The rest of the cases could not be taken up for consideration due to paucity of time.

Shelika
29/4/14